## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUM	BER FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	DINVENTOR		ATTORNEY MICH. T NC.
07/202,8	369 06/ <b>0</b> 3/88	HOROSZEWICZ		J	6453-002
PENNIE & EDMONDS					EXAMINATI P
	ENUE OF THE AME	RICAS	[	ART UNIT	PAPEL NUCLBER
NEW TON	(, N1 10030			1806	6
			t	DATE MAILED:	10/17/91
This is a communicat COMMISSIONER OF	ion from the exeminor in charge of PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	l your application			•
A shortened statutory Falluré to respond wit	nas been examined Reperiod for response to this au	tion is set to expire3 Ill cause the application to be	month(s),	days from	This action is made final. the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLO	WING ATTACHMENT(S) AR	E PART OF THIS ACTION:			
3. Notice of	References Cited by Examine Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1 In on How to Effect Drawing C	449.	=	Patent Drawing, P	TO-948. oplication, Form PTO-152
Part II SUMMARY	OF ACTION				•
1. ⊠ Claims 1-6, 16-19, 24, 25 and 27-42 are pending in the application					
. Of	the above, claims 25	27 and 32	-42	an	e withdrawn from consideration
2. 1 Claims 7-15, 20-23 and 26					have been cancelled.
s. Claims _	1 and 24				_ are allowed.
4. Claims _	16-19				
5. 🔽 Claims 🚊	2-6 and 28-	-31			_ are objected to.
6. Claims	<del></del>		are	subject to restricts	on or election requirement.
7. 🔲 This appli	cation has been filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F	.R. 1.85 which are a	acceptable for exam	nination purposes.
8. Tormal dr	awings are required in respor	se to this Office action.			
9					
	osed additional or substitute and disapproved by the example.			has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has been _ approved; _ disapproved (see explanation).					
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on					
	application apppears to be in se with the practice under Ex			rs, prosecution as t	o the merits is closed in
14. Other		•			

Serial No. 07/2**6**2,869 Art Unit 1806

- 15. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being substantial duplicates of claim 1. Claims 2-6 recite further characteristics of the 7E11-C5 monoclonal antibody claimed in claim 1, but do not differ in scope from claim 1 since all claims are limited to the 7E11.C5 antibody. Cancellation of claims 2-6 is recommended.
- 16. Claims 16-19 remain rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph for the reasons set forth in paragraph 20 of the previous office action. Applicant has not demonstrated the reproducibile production of antibodies having the exact combination of functional properties recited in claims 16 and 18 using the claimed methods.
- 17. The rejection of claims 1-3, 10, 11, 20, 28, 29 and 31 under 35 USC § 102(b)/103 over Frankel et al. set forth in paragraph 24 of the previous office action is withdrawn in view of the amendment of the claims.
- 18. The rejection of claims 1-6, 10-14, 20-23, 28, 29 and 31 under 35 USC § 102(b)/103 over Finstad et al. set forth in paragraph 25 of the previous office action is withdrawn in view of the amendment of the claims.
- 19. The rejection of claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, 28, 29 and 31 under 35 USC § 102(b)/103 over Webb et al. is withdrawn in view of the amendment of the claims.
- 20. Claims 16-19 remain rejected under 35 USC § 103 over Webb et al. as set forth in paragraph 26 of the previous office action and over Campbell in view of Frankel et al. or Webb et al. or

Serial No. 07/2**6**2,869 Art Unit 1806

Wright et al. as set forth in paragraph 27 of the previous office action.

Applicant maintains that the claims are not rendered obvious over the prior art because there is no evidence of record that anyone had previously utilized LNCaP cells alone to obtain antibodies with the recited specificities.

The claims recite a series of conventional method steps for the production of monoclonal antibodies. Webb et al. teach the use of LnCaP cells in combination with other cell lines as an immunogen for the production of hybridomas which secrete monoclonal antibodies specific for prostate cancer-associated It would have been obvious to use LnCaP cells alone antigens. in combination with other prostate carcinoma cells as immunogens for the production of monoclonal antibodies specific for prostatic carcinoma cells. Note that the use of the open "comprising" does not exclude the use of claim language additional immunogens in the claimed methods. It would not have been unexpected to obtain antibodies having properties as defined inthe claims since antibodies having similar properties were known in the art as shown by Webb et al. and Frankel et al.

Even if the claimed antibodies and hybridomas were distinguished over the prior art of record, the claimed methods for producing and using the monoclonal antibodies are conventional and obvious over the prior art absent a showing of the non-obviousness of applying these conventional methods to the

Serial No. 07/292,869 Art Unit 1806

claimed monoclonal antibodies. See <u>In re Durden</u> 763F, 2d 1406 226 USPQ 359.

Claims 1 and 24 are allowed.

Claims 2-6 are objected to for the reasons described in paragraph 15.

Claims 28-31 are objected to as the claims depend from claim 2, which is objected to.

Claims 16-19 are rejected.

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1806.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE

Serial No. 07/2**6**2,869 Art Unit 1806

STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula Hutzell whose telephone number is (703) 308-3533.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Hutzell October 15, 1991

> ESTHER L KEPPLINGER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 182